



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,239	05/07/2004	Timothy L. Robinson	129510.11801 7593	
21269 PEPPER HAM	7590 06/13/2007 IILTON LLP		EXAM	INER
ONE MELLON CENTER, 50TH FLOOR			ROSARIO, DENNIS	
500 GRANT S PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	
٠	•			·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•	•		06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·····		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/840,239	ROBINSON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dennis Rosario	2624		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u>	ay 2004.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	·			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 May 2004</u> is/are: a)[⊠ accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= : :	- * *		
11) 🔲 🤈	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National Stage		
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* ***	ed		
Attachment		∧ □ • •	· (DTO 440)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. fig. 2 drawn to claims 1-15 and 16-35;
- II. fig. 4 drawn to claims 36-51;
- III. fig. 3 drawn to claims 52-54.

The species are independent or distinct because each species has a different function.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Raymond Miller on 6/4/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Rosario whose telephone number is (571) 272-7397. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,239

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dennis Rosario Unit 2624

> MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker (Bella